

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1530
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.nappe.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,148	06/14/2002	Mark A. Kappel	126071	3244
27256	7590 11/06/2003		EXAM	INER
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.			DUVERNE, JEAN F	
SUITE 250	GRAPH RD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/064,148	KAPPEL ET AL.	
ı	Examiner	Art Unit	
į	Jean F. Duverne	2839	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)]

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07/0

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)

2.	1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
NOTE: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	(C	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). S		NOTE:
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). Canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) ⇒ skhibit, or c) ≥ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ⇒ will not be entered or b) ⇒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: □ Claim(s) objected to: □ Claim(s) objected to: □ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: □ Solicition of the proposed drawing correction filed on □ is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9 □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). □ Seam Fraitz Duverne Printage Eminer.	3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	4.	
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5.🛛	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	6.	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	7.	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawling correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9		The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.		Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.		Claim(s) objected to:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10 Other: Steam Fragitabluverne Printage Examiner		Claim(s) rejected: 1-18
9 ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10 ☐ Other: Seam Frantz Duverne		Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
10. Other:	8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
Searr Fragitz Duverne Primary Searniner	9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
Primary Examiner	10.	Other:
Primary Examiner		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner strongly believes that Mitra's device (US patent US005478248A) and Mouissie's device (US patent 4,189,642) read over the claims as currently recited.